### **U.S. Department of Labor**

Office of Labor-Management Standards Buffalo District Office 130 South Elmwood Avenue, Suite 510 Buffalo, NY 14202 (716) 842-2900 Fax: (716) 842-2901



Case Number: | | | | | | | | |

LM Number: 068-747

September 16, 2011

Mr. Paul Schuh, CAP Director Auto Workers, AFL-CIO Central New York CAP 35 George Karl Blvd. Amherst, NY 14221

Dear Mr. Schuh:

This office has recently completed an audit of Auto Workers, AFL-CIO, Central New York CAP under the Compliance Audit Program (CAP) to determine your organization's compliance with the provisions of the Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act of 1959 (LMRDA). As discussed during the exit interview with Secretary Judi Erhardt and you on August 11, 2011, the following problems were disclosed during the CAP. The matters listed below are not an exhaustive list of all possible problem areas since the audit conducted was limited in scope.

## Recordkeeping Violations

Title II of the LMRDA establishes certain reporting and recordkeeping requirements. Section 206 requires, among other things, that labor organizations maintain adequate records for at least five years by which each receipt and disbursement of funds, as well as all account balances, can be verified, explained, and clarified. As a general rule, labor organizations must maintain all records used or received in the course of union business.

For disbursements, this includes not only original bills, invoices, receipts, vouchers, and applicable resolutions, but also documentation showing the nature of the union business requiring the disbursement, the goods or services received, and the identity of the recipient(s) of the goods or services. In most instances, this documentation requirement can be satisfied with a sufficiently descriptive expense receipt or invoice. If an expense receipt is not sufficiently descriptive, a union officer or employee should write a note on it providing the additional information. For money it receives, the labor organization must keep at least one record showing the date, amount, purpose, and source of that money. The labor organization must also retain bank records for all accounts.

The audit of the Central New York CAP's 2010 records revealed the following recordkeeping violations:

#### 1. General Disbursements

The Central New York CAP did not retain adequate documentation for general disbursements and reimbursed expenses paid by the Council totaling at least \$2,064. For

As noted above, labor organizations must retain original receipts, bills, and vouchers for all disbursements. The president and treasurer (or corresponding principal officers) of your union, who are required to sign your union's LM report, are responsible for properly maintaining union records.

# 2. Meal Expenses

The Central New York CAP Council did not require officers and employees to submit itemized receipts for meal expenses totaling at least \$2,149. The union must maintain itemized receipts provided by restaurants to officers and employees. These itemized receipts are necessary to determine if such disbursements are for union business purposes and to sufficiently fulfill the recordkeeping requirement of LMRDA Section 206.

The Council's records of meal expenses did not always include written explanations of union business conducted or the names and titles of the persons incurring the restaurant charges. For example, CAP Director Paul Schuh was reimbursed \$1,214.82 for a dinner in which the names and titles of the individuals present were not listed. In addition, |||||| was reimbursed \$292.02 for a meal in which no itemized receipt was retained and the names of individuals present was not recorded. Union records of meal expenses must include written explanations of the union business conducted and the full names and titles of all persons who incurred the restaurant charges. Also, the records retained must identify the names of the restaurants where the officers or employees incurred meal expenses.

Based on your assurance that the Central New York CAP will retain adequate documentation in the future, OLMS will take no further enforcement action at this time regarding the above violations.

### Reporting Violations

The audit disclosed a violation of LMRDA Section 201(b), which requires labor organizations to file annual financial reports accurately disclosing their financial condition and operations. The Labor Organization Annual Report (Form LM-3) filed by the Central New York CAP for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, was deficient in the following areas:

### 1. Disbursements to Officers and Employees

The Central New York CAP Council did not include payments and reimbursements to officers and employees totaling at least \$7,303 in the amounts reported Item 24 (All Officers and Disbursements to Officers) and in Item 46 (To Employees). It appears the

union erroneously reported these payments in Item 48 (Office and Administrative Expense).

The union must report most direct disbursements to Central New York officers and employees and some indirect disbursements made on behalf of its officers and employees in Item 24 and Item 46, respectively. A "direct disbursement" to an officer or employee is a payment made in the form of cash, property, goods, services, or other things of value. See the instructions for Item 24 and Item 46 for a discussion of certain direct disbursements to officers and employees that do not have to be reported in Item 24 and 46. An "indirect disbursement" to an officer or an employee is a payment to another party (including a credit card company) for cash, property, goods, services, or other things of value received by or on behalf of an officer. However, indirect disbursements for temporary lodging (such as a union check issued to a hotel) or for transportation by a public carrier (such as an airline) for an officer traveling on union business should be reported in Item 48.

The CNY CAP Council did not report disbursements to individuals other than officers who receive payments for expenses incurred, including meals, mileage, and other direct or indirect expenses. For example, the union did not report payments reimbursed to former CAP Director Sam Williams in Item 46 for the reimbursement of meeting expenses such as meals.

In addition, the audit found that on a few occasions, the CNY CAP Council reimbursed UAW Local Unions expenses and/or lost wages for their officers to attend CNY CAP Council meetings and events. These individuals were also officers and/or employees of the CNY CAP Council. These indirect payments by the Council should be reported on the Council's Form LM-3 next to the officers' name in Item 24, if they are an officer of the Council or in total in Item 46 if they are not officers. An explanation should be provided in Item 56 (Additional Information) of such transactions. The CNY CAP Council should also advise the local unions to report such disbursements made to these individuals on Schedule 18 (General Overhead) or in Item 48 respectively on their Forms LM-2 or LM-3 since the payments to them are reported on another union's report and the local was reimbursed by the other organization.

#### 2. Disbursements for Professional Fees

The CNY CAP reported incorrectly \$18,691 in Item 49 (Professional Fees). The Council erroneously reported payments to |||||||||| and ||||||||||| for services rendered in Item 49 when in fact, these individuals are considered employees for reporting purposes and all payments to them should be reported in Item 46 (To Employees).

#### 3. Employees Receiving More than \$10,000 (Item 17)

The CNY CAP incorrectly answered Item 17 on the Form LM-3. During the reporting period, Consultant | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | received payments, together with affiliates, which totaled more than \$10,000; however the union answered "No" to Item 17. When

Mr. Paul Schuh September 16, 2011 Page 4 of 4

answering "yes" to Item 17, report in Item 56 (Additional Information) the name and position of each employee and the total amount of the disbursements made to them. In addition, include the names of the other affiliated organizations which made disbursements to or on behalf of the employee, if applicable.

I am not requiring that the Central New York CAP file an amended LM-3 Report for 2010 to correct the deficient items, but the Council has agreed to properly report the deficient items on all future reports it files with OLMS.

I want to extend my personal appreciation to the Central New York CAP Council for the cooperation and courtesy extended during this compliance audit. I strongly recommend that you make sure this letter and the compliance assistance materials provided to you are passed on to future officers. If we can provide any additional assistance, please do not hesitate to call.

	 estigator
cc:	Mrs. Judi Erhardt, Secretary

Sincerely,